

Maintenance Rating Program

Triangle Expressway

May 2025

2025 First Quarter Report

CONSULTANT CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

May 5, 2025

Alan Shapiro, P.E. Deputy Chief Engineer North Carolina Turnpike Authority 2501 Aerial Center Parkway, Suite 200 Morrisville, NC 27699

NCTA Triangle Expressway Roadway Maintenance Performance Rating Program; Q1, 2025 Rating

This is to certify that I, <u>Adam Gosselin, PE</u>, am an authorized official representative of the company Mott MacDonald I&E, LLC, a subconsultant to HNTB North Carolina, P.C. Collaboratively; we are working as the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Performance Rating Program Consultants.

I know of my own personal knowledge, and do hereby certify, that the work of the contract described above has been independently performed in accordance with, and in conformity to, the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Performance Standards v.7.1.

Sincerely,

Mott MacDonald I&E, LLC

Adam Gosselin, PE Principal for Mott MacDonald PE #038213

930 Main Campus drive, Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27606

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	
2.0	Introduction	
3.0	MRP Procedure	
4.0	Triangle Expressway Description	
5.0	Triangle Expressway Asset Inventory Update	8
6.0	MRP First Quarter Assessment	
6.1	Quarterly Results	9
6.2	Quarterly Analysis and Recommendations	11
	Elements	11
	Characteristics	12
7.0	Annual Results	13
7.1	Green Level Historic District Signs	
8.o	Conclusion	

Figures & Tables

Table 1: MRP Element Results for the 2025 First Quarter Assessment	
Table 2: MRP Rolling Element Results	
Figure 1: Maintenance Elements and Characteristics	
Figure 2: Triangle Expressway Map	
Table 3: Asset Inventory	
Table 4: MRP Element Results for Q1 2025	
Table 5: MRP Characteristics Results for Q1 2025	10
Exhibit 1: MRP Element Results for 2025	
Table 6: MRP Rolling Element Results	
Figure 4: Green Level West Historic District Signs, Landscape Areas	

Appendices

- A. Triangle Expressway 2025 First Quarter Asset Assessment Locations
- B. Triangle Expressway 2025 First Quarter Table Results of Assets Failing MRP

1.0 Executive Summary

The North Carolina Turnpike Authority (NCTA) Maintenance Rating Program (MRP) is a maintenance evaluation program for roadway features and toll facilities on the NCTA system. This report presents results from the 2025 First Quarter Assessment of the Triangle Expressway.

The overall 2025 first quarter maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was **96.0**, above the NCTA target rating of 90. As shown in **Table 1**, all five elements assessed achieved a rating greater than the target rating of 85.

Element	MRP Rating	Target Rating
Road Surface	100.0	85.0
Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches	100.0	85.0
Drainage	95.6	85.0
Roadside	99.1	85.0
Traffic Control Devices	89.5	85.0
Overall MRP Performance Rating	96.0	90.0

Table 1: MRP Element Results for the 2025 First Quarter Assessment

This report also provides a rolling rating of the latest four quarterly inspections of the Triangle Expressway. As presented in *Table 2*, the rolling maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was **96.0**.

Q2 2024 Q3 2024 Q4 2024 Q1 2025 Rolling Element Rating Rating Rating Rating Rating Road Surface 98.0 100.0 98.9 100.0 99.0 Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches 98.8 98.8 100.0 100.0 100.0 Drainage 93.9 92.5 97.0 95.6 95.8 Roadside 94.4 96.7 96.9 99.1 95.5 Traffic Control Devices 87.8 89.5 92.6 93.3 92.2 **Overall MRP Performance Rating** 94.6 96.2 96.0 96.0 95.7

Table 2: MRP Rolling Element Results

In addition, the report provides findings of the Green Level Historic District signs inspection. This quarter, two signs were inspected. One of the signs was in good physical condition, while the other has been struck and is down. The landscaped area around the two signs was maintained in accordance with NCTA MRP standards.

2.0 Introduction

The NCTA MRP is a comprehensive planning, measuring, and managing process that provides a means for communicating to managers, stakeholders, and customers the impacts of policy and budget decisions on program service delivery.

Using outcome-based performance measures and the service level scale (o through 100), the inspection results are rated against established threshold criteria. The program analysis is accomplished using sampling procedures that capture the level of service being provided for individual assets. The evaluation procedure is based on the establishment of threshold conditions that quantify the maximum defect allowed on assets. Over time, the results can be charted to identify work needs and subsequent necessary actions.

The NCTA performance standards, threshold criteria, and maintenance rating program were developed through a collaborative effort by NCTA managers, NCDOT maintenance staff, and consultants.

Using field survey information, a maintenance matrix can be developed to show the ties between maintenance activities and the characteristics of various roadway features. The purpose of this evaluation is to provide information that can be used to schedule and prioritize routine maintenance activities and provide uniform maintenance conditions that meet established objectives.

3.0 MRP Procedure

Per the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Performance Standards V7, roadway assets or characteristics on NCTA facilities have been grouped into elements. These elements and corresponding characteristics are shown in **Figure 1**:



Figure 1: Maintenance Elements and Characteristics

A weighting system has been established to identify the importance of each element and characteristic. This system consists of two weighting factors: one that accounts for the importance of individual characteristics within a given maintenance element (1-9), and one that accounts for the importance of the maintenance elements to the total rating (by % of score). This two-factor system reveals deficiencies among characteristics and elements.

The program analysis is accomplished using statistically valid, random sampling procedures that capture the level of service for individual characteristics, with a 95% confidence level in sampling. The sample characteristics selected are evaluated during quarterly inspections, which are performed during the months of February, May, August, and November to account for dynamic changes in assets during the various seasons. The evaluation process is completed using electronic data collection tablets and is based on established threshold conditions described in the NCTA Roadway and Facility Maintenance Standards V7. Those characteristics that meet or exceed the threshold are coded as PASSING; those that do not meet the threshold are coded as NOT PASSING.

When the evaluation process is completed, the number of PASSING samples and total sample are multiplied by the weighted values (1-9) to determine the actual and possible rating points for characteristics and elements. MRP ratings for elements and characteristics are then calculated as the ratio of the actual rating points to possible rating points. The MRP ratings represent the maintenance level of service currently being provided, as they define the percent of characteristics and elements that meet the maintenance condition standard. For instance, an MRP rating of 83 signifies that 83 percent of the inspected elements/characteristics met the standard.

The overall MRP rating is determined by calculating the sum of the elements' ratings multiplied by the following weighted factors:

25%
13%
15%
17%
30%
100%

The NCTA's overall target rating is 90, with elements scoring 85 or higher, and characteristics 80 or higher. In addition to quarterly ratings, the cumulative rolling annual rating is calculated each quarter. This rating is obtained by adding the ratings of the latest four quarterly inspections to compensate for the likelihood of uneven sample sizes.

4.0 Triangle Expressway Description

The Triangle Expressway extends for approximately 37 miles from the interchange of I-40 and Toll NC-885 in Durham to an easternly connection with I-40 / I-42 near Garner. It includes a one-mile segment on Toll NC-540 extending north from the Toll NC-540 / Toll NC-885 interchange to the NC-54 interchange. The Triangle Expressway consists of twelve interchanges and twenty-two all-electronic toll collection zones. The newest section from N.C. 55 Bypass to I-40/I-42 near Garner opened to traffic and started toll collection on September 25, 2024. This section includes interchanges at Holly Springs Road, Bells Lake Road, U.S. 401, Old Stage Road, and N.C. 50 before connecting with I-40 and I-42. While the newly opened extension is now open to traffic, this report will only summarize the data for the pre-existing roadway. (*Figure 2*).



Figure 2: Triangle Expressway Map

5.0 Triangle Expressway Asset Inventory Update

Through normal day-to-day maintenance activities and the construction of special projects, roadside assets are continuously being added or modified on the roadway. NCTA coordinates closely with NCDOT Division 5 Maintenance and conducts routine field visits to maintain an accurate asset inventory and ensure the validity of the MRP survey.

Prior to this quarter assets on Toll NC 540 exit ramps to and from NC-55 Bypass were removed from the inventory due to the Complete 540 construction project. *Table 3* presents the updated number of assets that are currently available for inspections.

Assets	Total Inventory	2025 Eligible Inventory
Barriers	801	616
Curb and Gutter	428	391
Decorative Supports	305	298
Drainage	1179	1127
Misc. Drainage	218	202
Fences	508	483
Highway Lighting	435	430
Impact Attenuators	48	46
Inlets	1129	1075
Linear Segments	795	755
Plant Beds	266	257
Paved Ditches	2	2
Pavement Symbols	652	591
Signs	1224	1168
Tree and Brush	603	567
Turf	1074	1011
Walls	88	84

Table 3: Asset Inventory

6.0 MRP First Quarter Assessment

6.1 Quarterly Results

The overall 2025 First quarter maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was 96.0, above NCTA's target overall rating of 90. All elements assessed achieved quarter ratings above the target rating of 85 established for element groups.

It is important to note that these results are only representative of the first quarter sample, one of the four surveys to provide an intermediate snapshot of seasonal conditions. Therefore, they are not a statistically valid representation of the assets; only the total of all four quarterly inspections, reported as the rolling rating, provides a 95% confidence level in statistical sampling. The first quarter MRP performance ratings for elements and characteristics are presented in **Table 4** and **Table 5**, respectively.

Element	MRP Rating
Road Surface	100.0
Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches	100.0
Drainage	95.6
Roadside	99.1
Traffic Control Devices	89.5
Overall MRP Performance Rating	96.0

Table 4: MRP Element Results for Q1 2025

Table 5: MRP Characteristics Results for Q1 2025

Road Surface	Sample Passed	Sample Total	Weighted Values	Actual Pts	Available Pts	Q1 Rating
Paved Lanes Asphalt	10	10	9	90	90	100
Paved Lanes Concrete	28	28	9	252	252	100
Paved Shoulder	38	38	5	190	190	100
Element Total				532	532	100.0
Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches	Sample Passed	Sample Total	Weighted Values	Actual Pts	Available Pts	Q1 Rating
Unpaved Shoulder	38	38	9	342	342	100
Front/Back Slopes	38	38	6	228	228	100
Lateral and Outfall Ditches, Unpaved	38	38	6	228	228	100
Ditches, Paved	0	0	5	0	0	N/A
Element Total				798	798	100.0
Drainage	Sample Passed	Sample Total	Weighted Values	Actual Pts	Available Pts	Q1 Rating
Drainage Pipes	33	34	7	231	238	97
Curb and Gutter	28	31	6	168	186	90
Inlets	34	34	7	238	238	100
Misc. Drainage Structure	21	23	4	84	92	91
Element Total				721	754	95.6
Roadside	Sample Passed	Sample Total	Weighted Values	Actual Pts	Available Pts	Q1 Rating
Turf Condition	32	33	7	224	231	97
Landscaping	25	25	4	100	100	100
Trees and Brush	31	31	4	124	124	100
Litter			4	152	150	100
Littei	38	38	4	152	152	
Roadway Sweeping	38 38	38 38	5	190	190	100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors	38 38 32	38 38 32	4 5 9	190 288	190 288	100 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators	38 38 32 9	38 38 32 10	4 5 9 9	190 288 81	132 190 288 90	100 100 90
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access	38 38 32 9 30	38 38 32 10 30	4 5 9 9 7	132 190 288 81 210	132 190 288 90 210	100 100 90 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls	38 38 32 9 30 14	38 38 32 10 30 14	4 5 9 9 7 5	132 190 288 81 210 70	132 190 288 90 210 70	100 100 90 100 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports	38 38 32 9 30 14 28	38 38 32 10 30 14 28	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 5	132 190 288 81 210 70 140	132 190 288 90 210 70 140	100 100 90 100 100 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44	4 5 9 7 5 5 4	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176	100 100 90 100 100 100 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771	100 100 90 100 100 100 100 99.1
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 5 ample Passed	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 5ample Total	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 Weighted Values	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts	100 100 100 100 100 99.1 Q1 Rating
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 Sample Passed 33	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 Sample Total 34	4 5 9 7 5 5 4 Weighted Values 7	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238	100 100 100 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs Delineators	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 Sample Passed 33 38	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 Sample Total 34 38	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 4 Weighted Values 7 3	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231 114	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238 114	100 100 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97 100
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs Delineators Pavement Striping/Marking	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 44 Sample Passed 33 38 38 37	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 44 Sample Total 34 38 38	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 4 Weighted Values 7 3 8	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231 114 296	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238 114 304	100 100 90 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97 100 97
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs Delineators Pavement Striping/Marking Words and Symbols	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 Sample Passed 33 38 38 37 44	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 Sample Total 34 38 38 38 54	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 Weighted Values 7 3 8 8 7	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231 114 296 308	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238 114 304 378	100 100 90 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97 100 97 81
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs Delineators Pavement Striping/Marking Words and Symbols Pavement Markers	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 44 Sample Passed 33 38 37 44 35	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 44 Sample Total 34 38 38 38 38 54 38	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 4 Weighted Values 7 3 8 7 3 8 7 9	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231 114 296 308 315	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238 114 304 378 342	100 100 90 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97 100 97 81 92
Roadway Sweeping Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors Impact Attenuators Fence, Control Access Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls Decorative Supports Graffiti and Stain Removal Element Total Traffic Control Devices Signs Delineators Pavement Striping/Marking Words and Symbols Pavement Markers Highway Lighting	38 38 32 9 30 14 28 44 Sample Passed 33 38 33 38 37 44 35 34	38 38 32 10 30 14 28 44 Sample Total 34 38 38 38 54 38 44	4 5 9 9 7 5 5 4 4 Weighted Values 7 3 8 7 3 8 7 9 9 6	132 190 288 81 210 70 140 176 1755 Actual Pts 231 114 296 308 315 204	132 190 288 90 210 70 140 176 1771 Available Pts 238 114 304 378 342 264	100 100 90 100 100 100 99.1 01 Rating 97 100 97 81 92 81 92 77

Additionally, *Appendix A* includes maps that present the location of all assets assessed during the first quarter. *Appendix B* includes a list of the individual assets that did not achieve their target ratings.

6.2 Quarterly Analysis and Recommendations

Elements

During the first quarter, all elements exceeded NCTA's quarter score threshold criteria of 85.

Road Surface (100.0) experienced a 2.0-point increase from the previous quarter's rating. Paved Lanes Concrete (100.0) quarter rating increased by 4 points. All characteristics within this element continued scoring above 90 for last four quarters.

Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches (100.0) elemental rating was on par with the previous quarter's rating. All characteristics within this element continued scoring above 90.

Drainage (95.6) rating decreased by 1.4 points from the previous quarter rating. Inlets (100) rating continued showing great improvement with an increase of 6 points from last quarter.

Roadside (99.1) rating increased by 2.2 points from the previous quarter rating. Fence, Control Access (100) was the most improved characteristic with a score increase of 10.0 points from the previous quarter's rating.

Traffic Control Devices (89.5) experienced a decrease in rating of 2.7 points from the previous quarter. Highway Lighting (77) decreased by 2 points in rating and will require attention with an element characteristic score below target of 80. Word and Symbols (81) improved with a increase in rating of 7 points.

Recommendations to improve specific critical characteristic ratings are provided in the following sections.

Characteristics

This quarter, all but one element characteristic, Highway Lighting (77), exceeded NCTA's quarter score threshold criteria of 80. A description of the characteristic's conditions and future work planning recommendations are provided below. Pictures of applicable characteristic failures are included in *Appendix B*.

Highway Lighting (77 rating – 34 of the 44 assets passed): Each of the highway lights that did not pass inspection were not functioning properly (out at night).

To maintain/improve the condition ratings, it is recommended that highway lighting be regularly inspected for functionality after dark, and maintenance completed as planned in the capital budget. Solar and battery powered backup may help cover temporary down time between repairs.

Maintenance Program:

- 1) Perform night patrol once a month and identify any outages. A monthly "Lighting Outage Report" shall be submitted by the maintenance provider to the NCTA by the 30th of each month. All bulb outages must be replaced within 48 hours.
- 2) Perform cleaning of glassware at the same time as any routine maintenance function or diagnostic action is performed.
- 3) Replace any light poles damaged by traffic within 5 days or within 14 days if any foundations needed pouring.

Maintenance and Evaluation Standards: Highway and Sign Lighting do not meet the maintenance standards when any of the following criteria is observed:

- 1) Any electrical inspection plate, access panel cover, exposed electrical wire or pull box cover are not properly secured in place.
- 2) The luminaries are not functioning during nighttime observation. (N)
- 3) Any pole is damaged, leaning or missing.
- 4) Rodent screen protection is not in place, where applicable.

7.0 Annual Results

<u>The 2025 annual rolling maintenance rating of the Triangle Expressway was **96.0**, exceeding NCTA's target overall rating of 90. All elements exceeded NCTA's rolling rating threshold criteria of 85. Twenty-seven of the twenty-eight characteristic ratings met or exceeded the target rating of 80.</u>

The 2025 results are presented in *Exhibit* 1 and *Table* 6. These results are a collection of the four quarterly inspections conducted in the last year.

Exhibit 1: MRP Element Results for 2025



■ Q2 2024 ■ Q3 2024 ■ Q4 2024 ■ Q1 2025 ■ Rolling Rating

Table 6: MRP Rolling Element Results

Road Surface	Q2 2024 Rating	Q3 2024 Rating	Q4 2024 Rating	Q1 2025 Rating	Rolling Rating
Paved Lanes Asphalt	95	100	100	100	98
Paved Lanes Concrete	100	100	96	100	99
Paved Shoulder	100	100	100	100	99
Element Total	98.9	100.0	98.0	100.0	99.0
Unpaved Shoulders and Ditches	Q2 2024 Rating	Q3 2024 Rating	Q4 2024 Rating	Q1 2025 Rating	Rolling Rating
Unpaved Shoulder	98	100	100	100	98
Front/Back Slopes	100	100	100	100	99
Lateral and Outfall Ditches, Unpaved	98	100	100	100	99
Ditches, Paved	100	100	100	N/A	100
Element Total	98.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.8
Drainage	Q2 2024 Rating	Q3 2024 Rating	Q4 2024 Rating	Q1 2025 Rating	Rolling Rating
Drainage Pipes	92	98	100	97	96
Curb and Gutter	96	97	100	90	97
Inlets	97	85	94	100	98
Misc. Drainage Structure	88	88	91	91	89
Element Total	93-9	92.5	97.0	95.6	95.8
Roadside	Q2 2024 Rating	Q3 2024 Rating	Q4 2024 Rating	Q1 2025 Rating	Rolling Rating
Turf Condition	90	91	97	97	92
Landscaping	96	100	92	100	95
Trees and Brush	94	100	94	100	97
Litter	100	100	100	100	99
Roadway Sweeping	100	100	100	100	99
Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors	90	100	100	100	95
Impact Attenuators	100	90	89	90	95
Fence, Control Access	91	88	90	100	92
Retaining Walls and Sound Barrier Walls	78	100	100	100	86
Decorative Supports	100	100	100	100	100
Graffiti and Stain Removal	100	100	100	100	100
Element Total	94-4	96.7	96.9	99.1	95-5
Traffic Control Devices	Q2 2024 Rating	Q3 2024 Rating	Q4 2024 Rating	Q1 2025 Rating	Rolling Rating
Signs	98	89	97	97	96
Delineators	96	100	100	100	97
Pavement Striping/Marking	96	97	100	97	98
Words and Symbols	88	89	74	81	85
Pavement Markers	95	97	100	92	96
Highway Lighting	83	65	79	77	82
Element Total	93.3	87.8	92.2	89.5	92.6

7.1 Green Level Historic District Signs

Green Level Historic District signs and surrounding landscaped areas were installed as part of the Triangle Expressway construction project. Currently, NCDOT is maintaining the Green Level Historic District Signs and the Town of Cary is providing maintenance to the landscaped areas surrounding these signs.

As part of each quarterly inspection, an assessment team visits the two remaining Green Level Historic District signs to conduct a visual inspection of each sign and ensure they are in good standing. One of the two signs included in the inspection inventory was found to be in good condition, while the other has been stuck, damaged and in need of repair. *Figure 4* shows the two signs assessed.



Figure 4: Green Level West Historic District Signs, Landscape Areas

8.0 Conclusion

This report presents the 2025 first quarter assessment of the Triangle Expressway. The NCTA's target ratings are 90 for the rolling rating, 90 for the overall quarter rating, 85 for elements, and 80 for characteristics. The First quarter 2025 overall rating was **96.0** and the rolling rating was **96.0**, both ratings met the target rating of 90.

All element ratings were above the target ratings for the quarter and rolling assessment. During the First quarter assessment, all but one characteristic met or exceeded the target rating of 80. The characteristic that received a quarter score less than 80 was Highway Lighting (77). To maintain/improve the condition ratings, it is recommended that highway lighting be routinely inspected for functionality after dark along with routine maintenance being completed as planned in the capital budget.

This quarter, one of the two Green Level Historic District signs inspected was found to be in good condition, and the other will need to be repaired. The landscaped areas surrounding the signs were found to be well maintained.



Passing Asset

NORTH CAROLINA Turnpike Authority





Legend

Failing Asset
Passing Asset

















Passing Asset



Passing Asset





Legend

Failing Asset Passing Asset























Passing Asset

NORTH CAROLINA Turnpike Authority



Legend

Failing Asset Passing Asset







Legend

Failing Asset Passing Asset







Passing Asset



Passing Asset















Failing Asset Passing Asset








Legend







NORTH CAROLINA Turnpike Authority





Failing Asset Passing Asset









Legend

Failing Asset Passing Asset











A27















Legend



















Legend







Appendix **B**

Triangle Expressway 2025 First Quarter Table Results of Assets Failing MRP

Provided below are a series of tables outlining the existing failures that occurred throughout the facility. Assets are defined by an Inventory ID, which is a unique identifier given to each individual asset. The components that make up the Inventory ID are an asset specific prefix along with a number, such as LS_1. The Inventory ID and GIS Reference Page number correspond to the provided map packets and allow for quick location of particular asset failures. Photos of failures were provided when applicable.

*ORA – Outside Recorded Area

All assets and their respective prefixes are listed below:

Guardrail, Concrete Barrier and End Anchors (BR)	B1
Curb and Gutter (CG)	B2
Decorative Supports (DS)	ВЗ
Drainage Pipes (DP)	B4
Misc. Drainage Structure (MDP)	B5
Fence and Control of Access (FN)	B6
Graffiti (GR)	B7
Highway Lighting (HL)	B8
Impact Attenuators (IA)	В9
Inlets (IN)	B10
Landscaping (PB)	B11
Paved Lanes – Asphalt (LS)	B12
Paved Lanes – Concrete (LS)	B12
Paved Shoulders (LS)	B13
Unpaved Shoulders (LS)	B13
Front/Back Slopes (LS)	B14
Unpaved Lateral and Outfall Ditches (LS)	B14
Litter (LS)	B15
Roadway Sweeping (LS)	B16
Pavement Striping (LS)	B17
Pavement Markers (LS)	B18
Delineators (LS)	B19
Paved Ditches (PD)	B20
Pavement Words and Symbols (PS)	B21
Signs (SN)	B23
Tree and Brush (TB)	B24
Turf Condition (TF)	B25
MSE/Retaining Walls, Sound Barrier Walls, and Screen Walls (WL)	B26

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

Guardrail, Concrete Barrier, and End Anchors (BR)

Curb and Gutter (CG)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Shoulder Berm Gutter	CG_180	Settlement		A17
2	Shoulder Berm Gutter	CG_206	Settlement		A23
3	Other	CG_322	Settlement		Ag

Dec	corative Su	pports (DS)			
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

Drainage Pipes (DP)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Lateral Pipe	DP_1100	Obstruction		A3

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Shoulder Drain	MDP_42	Rodent Screen		A11
2	Shoulder Drain	MDP_200	Rodent Screen		A17

Misc. Drainage Structure (MDP)

Fen	Fence and Control of Access (FN)					
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page	

GIS # Material Object Failure Type Photo Reference Page	Gra	ffiti (GR)				
	#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

Highway	Lighting	(HL)
---------	----------	------

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	High Mast	HL_46	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A6
2	High Mast	HL_48	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A6
3	High Mast	HL_137	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A3
4	Single Roadway	HL_150	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A5
5	High Mast	HL_158	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A2
6	High Mast	HL_232	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A3
7	Double Roadway	HL_249	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A22
8	Single Roadway	HL_253	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A22
9	Single Roadway	HL_347	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A2
10	Underpass Lighting	HL_360	Functional Damage (Out at Night)	No Photo Provided	A17

Impact Attenuators (IA)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Refer ence Page
1	Gating Attenuator	IA_18	Functional Damage (End Missing)		A8

Inlet	ts (IN)				
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

GIS # Material Object Failure Type Photo Reference Type ID Photo Reference	Lan	Landscaping (PB)								
Page	#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page				

Paved Lanes –	Asphalt (LS)		
Material	Object		

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

Paved Lanes – Concrete (LS)

Material Object Failure Type Photo R Type ID	GIS Reference Page
---	--------------------------

Paved Shoulders (LS)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

This asset did not produce any failures.

Unpaved Shoulders (LS)

Front/Back Slopes (LS)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

This asset did not produce any failures.

Unpaved Lateral and Outfall Ditches (LS)

# Material Objec Failure Type Photo R Type t ID	GIS eference Page
--	-------------------------

Litter (LS)							
Material # Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page			

Roadway Sweeping (LS)								
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page			

Pavement Striping (LS)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Pavement - Asphalt	LS_18	Striping – Line Visibility (Nighttime Reflectivity)	No Photo Provided	A26

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page		
1	Concrete (Non-Ramp)	LS_160	Striping – Reflective Markers (Nighttime Reflectivity)	No Photo Provided	A13		
2	Concrete (Non-Ramp)	LS_162	Striping – Reflective Markers (Nighttime Reflectivity)	No Photo Provided	А14		
3	Concrete (Non-Ramp)	LS_611	Striping – Reflective Markers (Nighttime Reflectivity)	No Photo Provided	*ORA		

	Del	ineators (l	_S)			
Material Object Failure Type Photo Refere # Type ID Pag	#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

Paved Ditches (PD)							
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page		
Pavement	Words	and Sv	vmbols	(PS)			
----------	-------	--------	--------	------			
				/			

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Stop Bar	PS_18	Daytime Assessment (Faded/Low Visibility)		A13
2	Merge Left	PS_28	Daytime Assessment (Faded/Low Visibility)		*ORA
3	Merge Left	PS_29	Daytime Assessment (Faded/Low Visibility)	No Photo Provided	*ORA
4	Right Turn	PS_418	Nighttime Reflectivity	No Photo Provided	Aı
5	Left Turn	PS_421	Daytime Assessment / Nighttime Reflectivity		Aı

Pavement Words and Symbols (PS)

6	Stop Bar	PS_430	Nighttime Reflectivity		Aı
7	Thru Lane	PS_442	Daytime Assessment / Nighttime Reflectivity		A6
8	Right Turn Only	PS_507	Daytime Assessment / Nighttime Reflectivity		A6
9	Merge Left	PS_539	Daytime Assessment / Nighttime Reflectivity	No Photo Provided	A34
10	Right Turn	PS_598	Daytime Assessment / Nighttime Reflectivity	No Photo Provided	A27

Signs (SN)

#	Sign Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Merge	SN_1226	Nighttime Reflectivity	No Photo Provided	Азо

Tre	Tree and Brush (TB)						
#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page		
	This asset did not produce any failures.						

Turf Condition (TF)

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page
1	Turf	TF_388	Bare Ground		A22

#	Material Type	Object ID	Failure Type	Photo	GIS Reference Page

MSE/Retaining Walls, Sound Barrier Walls, and Screen Walls (WL)

This asset did not produce any failures.